UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

THOMAS S. SWANSON, Individually and on: Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-05518-BMC-RER

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION

VS.

JOINT STIPULATION AND

[PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING THE
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

INTERFACE, INC., DANIEL T. HENDRIX, JAY D. GOULD, BRUCE A. HAUSMANN and PATRICK C. LYNCH,

Defendants.

ocicildants.

WHEREAS, by Order dated September 28, 2022, the Court adopted the parties' Joint Proposed Case Management Plan (ECF No. 52) which provides, *inter alia*, that Lead Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification (the "Class Motion") shall be filed on or before December 1, 2022; Defendants' opposition to the Class Motion shall be filed on or before February 1, 2023; and Lead Plaintiff's reply in further support of the Class Motion shall be filed on or before March 20, 2023;

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to file a motion to compel (the "Motion to Compel") against both Lead Plaintiff and Lead Plaintiff's investment advisor, non-party Chartwell Investment Partners, that concerns documents that may be relevant to the Class Motion, and will likely delay Defendants' ability to file their opposition consistent with the current schedule; and

WHEREAS, Defendants' Motion to Compel is subject to a joint pre-motion letter requirement under this District's Local Rules and Your Honor's Individual Practices, with which the parties are in the process of complying; and

WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and agreed that, subject to approval of the Court, the briefing schedule on the Class Motion should be modified as follows:

1. The Class Motion shall be filed on or before January 12, 2023;

- 2. Defendants' opposition to the Class Motion shall be filed by the later of: (i) sixty (60) days after the filing of the Class Motion; (ii) if the Motion to Compel is granted in whole or in part, forty-five (45) days after Defendants' receipt of all of the compelled discovery; or (iii) thirty (30) days after an order denying the Motion to Compel in full;
- 3. Lead Plaintiff's reply in further support of the Class Motion shall be filed no later than 45 days after Defendants' opposition to the Class Motion is filed.

This stipulation may be signed in counterparts, and the signature pages may be exchanged via PDF and email.

DATED: November 30, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

/s/ Harris Fischman
HARRIS FISCHMAN

Daniel J. Kramer
Harris Fischman
Shane D. Avidan
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
212/373-3306
dkramer@paulweiss.com
hfischman@paulweiss.com
savidan@paulweiss.com

Counsel for Interface, Inc., Daniel T. Hendrix and Bruce A. Hausmann

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

/s/ David Long-Daniels
DAVID LONG-DANIELS

David Long-Daniels (pro hac vice application forthcoming) Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road ND Suite 2500 Atlanta, GA 30305 678/553-4744 Long-DanielsD@gtlaw.com

Counsel for Jay D. Gould

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

/s/ David A. Rosenfeld
DAVID A. ROSENFELD

David A. Rosenfeld
Samuel H. Rudman
Philip T. Merenda, III
Natalie Bono
58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
631/367-7100
drosenfeld@rgrdlaw.com
srudman@rgrdlaw.com
pmerenda@rgrdlaw.com
nbono@rgrdlaw.com

Counsel for Lead Plaintiff

Digitally signed by Brian M. Cogan

THE HONORABLE BRIAN M. COGAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.
Brooklyn, NY
DATED: Nov. 30, 2022